

Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council ALBERTA'S AUTOMOTIVE REGULATOR

PHONE: 780.466.1140 TOLL FREE: 1.877.979.8100 FAX: 780.462.0633 #303.9945-50 STREET.

EDMONTON, AB T6A OL4

May 9, 2023

Administrative Review – 23-03-015 Served Personally

Administrative Penalty

TRIPLE R AUTO LTD. o/a LEXUS OF ROYAL OAK 7677 112 AVENUE NW CALGARY, AB T3R 1R8

Attention: Glen Richardson, Todd Richardson and Glen Rumpel

Dear Glen Richardson, Todd Richardson and Glen Rumpel:

Re: Triple R Auto Ltd. operating as Lexus of Royal Oak

- Provincial Automotive Business Licence No. B1043511

As the Director of Fair Trading (as delegated) (the "Director"), I am writing to you pursuant to Section 158.1(1) of the *Consumer Protection Act* ("CPA") to provide you with written notice of the Administrative Penalty issued under that section.

Facts

The evidence before me in relation to this matter consists of the material contained in an Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council ("AMVIC") industry standards department application report (the "Application Report") prepared by an industry standards officer ("ISO") and the manager of industry standards. A copy of the Application Report is attached as Schedule "A" to this letter. The Supplier provided written representations via email dated May 5, 2023 (attached as Schedule "B"), in response to the Proposed Administrative Penalty, which I have also taken into consideration.

Licensee Status

Triple R Auto Ltd. operating as Lexus of Royal Oak (the "Supplier") holds an automotive business licence and is licensed to carry on the designated business activities of new and used sales, garage, leasing, service station, specialty service and wholesale sales in the Province of Alberta.

Direct communications with the Supplier and its representatives

1. On May 16, 2019, a routine AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed at the business location of the Supplier. A Findings Letter dated May 16, 2019 outlining the inspection findings



was completed and sent to the Supplier. The Findings Letter outlined some concerns including but not limited to:

- a) Advertising issues contrary to requirements found in Section 11 of the Automotive Business Regulation ("ABR") and Sections 4 and 18 of the Cost of Credit Disclosure Regulation ("COC").
- b) During the inspection, 12 deals were reviewed by the ISO and of those 12 deals, one did not reflect all-in pricing contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.
- c) A number of the bills of sale ("BOS") that were reviewed in the deal jackets had issues contrary to Section 31.2 of the ABR.
- 2. On Feb. 9, 2023, a follow up AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. This inspection focused specifically on the Supplier's advertising and compliance with all-in pricing legislation. The inspection conducted on Feb. 9, 2023 was therefore not comprehensive in nature and as such, not all documentation or business practices were reviewed in comparison to the previous comprehensive inspection conducted in 2019. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on Feb. 14, 2023. The Findings Letter outlined the following concern:
 - a) During the inspection, 22 deals were reviewed by the ISO and of those 22 deals, eight did not reflect all-in pricing contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.
- 3. Selling vehicles over the advertised price was found in both AMVIC inspections, based on the Findings Letters provided to the Supplier following each AMVIC industry standards inspection.
- 4. The Supplier provided written representations, dated May 5, 2023, in response to the Proposed Administrative Penalty (see Schedule "B"). Although the Supplier's written representations were not submitted to the Director by the required time stated in the Proposed Administrative Penalty, the Director took the representations into consideration in determining the amount of Administrative Penalty.

Applicable Legislation

Automotive Business Regulation Advertising Section 11

- (2) A business operator must ensure that every advertisement for an automotive business that promotes the use or purchase of goods or services
 - (I) includes in the advertised price for any vehicle the total cost of the vehicle, including, but not limited to, all fees and charges such as the cost of accessories, optional equipment physically attached to the vehicle, transportation charges and any applicable taxes or administration fees, but not including GST or costs and charges associated with financing, and



Consumer Protection Act Interpretation of documents Section 4

If a consumer and a supplier enter into a consumer transaction, or an individual enters into a contract with a licensee and the licensee agrees to supply something to the individual in the normal course of the licensee's business, and

- (a) all or any part of the transaction or contract is evidenced by a document provided by the supplier or licensee, and
- (b) a provision of the document is ambiguous,

the provision must be interpreted against the supplier or licensee, as the case may be.

Administrative Penalties Notice of administrative penalty Section 158.1

- (1) If the Director is of the opinion that a person
 - (a) has contravened a provision of this Act or the regulations, or
 - (b) has failed to comply with a term or condition of a licence issued under this Act or the regulations,

the Director may, by notice in writing given to the person, require the person to pay to the Crown an administrative penalty in the amount set out in the notice.

- (2) Where a contravention or a failure to comply continues for more than one day, the amount set out in the notice of administrative penalty under subsection (1) may include a daily amount for each day or part of a day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurs or continues.
- (3) The amount of an administrative penalty, including any daily amounts referred to in subsection (2), must not exceed \$100 000.
- (4) Subject to subsection (5), a notice of administrative penalty shall not be given more than 3 years after the day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurred.
- **(5)** Where the contravention or non-compliance occurred in the course of a consumer transaction or an attempt to enter into a consumer transaction, a notice of administrative penalty may be given within 3 years after the day on which the consumer first knew or ought to have known of the contravention or non-compliance but not more than 8 years after the day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurred.

Right to make representations Section 158.2

Before imposing an administrative penalty in an amount of \$500 or more, the Director shall



- (a) advise the person, in writing, of the Director's intent to impose the administrative penalty and the reasons for it, and
- (b) provide the person with an opportunity to make representations to the Director.

Vicarious liability Section 166

For the purposes of this Act, an act or omission by an employee or agent of a person is deemed also to be an act or omission of the person if the act or omission occurred

- (a) in the course of the employee's employment with the person, or
- (b) in the course of the agent's exercising the powers or performing the duties on behalf of the person under their agency relationship.

Analysis - Did the Supplier fail to comply with the provisions of the ABR?

A routine AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on May 16, 2019. The inspection findings were discussed with the Supplier and a Findings Letter was emailed to the Supplier. The inspection completed in 2019 found some legislative breaches including the Supplier selling over the advertised price. After this inspection the Supplier was provided with information and education regarding the legislative requirement of adhering to the advertised price when selling vehicles as per Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

On Feb. 9, 2023 a second AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. This inspection focused solely on the Supplier's advertising and compliance with all-in pricing legislation. The inspection conducted on Feb. 9, 2023 was therefore not comprehensive in nature and as such, not all documentation or business practices were reviewed in comparison to the previous comprehensive inspection conducted in 2019. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on Feb. 14, 2023. The ISO identified that the Supplier has continued to sell vehicles over the advertised price contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR. Based on the facts outlined by in the Application Report and supporting documents (see Schedule "A"), I will be considering the alleged breaches from the 2023 AMVIC industry standards inspection.

A. Selling Above Advertised Price (11(2)(I) ABR)

During the Feb. 9, 2023 inspection, the ISO found eight vehicles sold above the advertised price. Prices advertised need to include all fees the seller intends to charge. The only fee that can be added to the advertised price is the goods and services tax ("GST") and costs associated with financing as per Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR. Pre-installed products such as batteries and anti-theft must be included in the advertised price. Destination fees, documentation fees, the AMVIC levy and tire recycling levy must be included in the advertised price. In these eight consumer transactions the Supplier derived an economic benefit of \$17,797.82 at the cost of the consumers.

• Stock No. L23019 was sold over the advertised price by \$1,881.61;



- Stock No. L23017 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,402.11;
- Stock No. L23058 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,180.75;
- Stock No. L23032 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,444.65;
- Stock No. L23051 was sold over the advertised price by \$1,944.65;
- Stock No. L23055 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,344.65;
- Stock No. L23073 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,434.75; and
- Stock No. L23085 was sold over the advertised price by \$2,164.65.

The Findings Letter dated Feb. 14, 2023 states 14 used and eight new vehicle sale files were reviewed. Of the 22 deal jackets reviewed by the ISO, eight of the vehicles were sold over the advertised price contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

During the 2023 AMVIC industry standards inspection, the Supplier advised that block heaters, cargo liners and Xpel film are pre-installed by the business but they do not include the cost of these products in their advertised price. The price of all pre-installed products must be included in the advertised price in accordance with Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

In 2022, the Supplier submitted sales levies to AMVIC verifying they sold 814 vehicles over the course of the year. Based on the sample size of 22 deal jackets reviewed by the ISO and before me as evidence, the Supplier has derived an economic benefit by charging consumers over the advertised price. This is concerning as the Supplier has been provided with opportunity and education to rectify this business practice, however continues to engage in this practice and derive an economic benefit at the cost of consumers.

In the Supplier's written representations (see Schedule "B") they advised they made the necessary changes to bring their business practices into compliance the day after the ISO explained all-in pricing. Further the Supplier indicated they are "not gauging [sic] our prices with "market value adjustments". We provided what is standard for most out consumers in Alberta (block heater and Xpel protective film to help prevent rock chips on their investment)." The Director acknowledges the Supplier did not charge over the advertised price by adding a market adjustment to the sale of the above vehicles and accepts that the Supplier did provide goods for the amount charged above the advertised price. However, as these goods were affixed to the vehicles they were required to be included in the advertised price which is very clear in Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR which the Supplier had been educated about during their previous inspection.

The Director finds that on a balance of probabilities, the Supplier has breached Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

B. Other Considerations

In addition to the individual education AMVIC provided the Supplier in the form of the Findings Letters provided after each AMVIC industry standards inspection, AMVIC has issued 18 industry bulletins and newsletters over the past two years explaining all-in pricing and advertising regulations, educating the



automotive industry as a whole. As a licensed member of the automotive industry, the Supplier would have received the AMVIC industry bulletins and newsletters and in the opinion of the Director, is expected to have reviewed these education bulletins and newsletters to ensure their business practices are in compliance.

There exists an onus on the Supplier to do their due diligence and ensure they are complying with the legislation that governs the regulated industry they have chosen to be a member of. The Supreme Court of British Columbia in *Windmill Auto Sales & Detailing Ltd. v. Registrar of Motor Dealers, 2014 BCSC 903* addressed the issue of the onus and responsibility the Supplier has when operating within a regulated industry. The court at paragraph 59 stated:

"In my view, it is incumbent upon a party that operates within a regulated industry to develop at least a basic understanding of the regulatory regime, including its obligations under the regime, as well as the obligations, and the authority, of the regulator."

The Supplier's business practices discussed above leverages the Supplier's knowledge and position, and does not foster a level playing field between the consumer and the Supplier, leading to financial harm to consumers. It further concerns the Director that the Supplier has continued to breach rather straightforward legislation, to the financial detriment of consumers, despite the education provided by AMVIC.

The aggravating factors in this matter include the resulting financial impact adversely affecting the consumers due to paying over the advertised price, in eight transactions the Supplier derived an economic benefit of \$17,797.82 and continued non-compliance with the rather straightforward requirements of the legislation despite education provided to the Supplier. The Supplier forwarded written representations in response to the Proposed Administrative Penalty (see Scheduled "B") and advised they immediate made the changes to bring their business practices into compliance. The Director accepts their written representations and the changes made as a mitigating factor.

This Administrative Penalty is taking into account the number and seriousness of the contraventions of the legislation found during the second inspection; and the aggravating and mitigating factors listed above. No previous enforcement action taken against the Supplier was provided to the Director as evidence in the Application Report and therefore the amount of this Administrative Penalty does not take into account any previous enforcement against the Supplier.

The amount of the Administrative Penalty cannot be viewed as a cost of doing business but rather as a deterrent for continuing to engage in non-compliant business practices.

Action

In accordance with Section 158.1(a) of the CPA and based on the above facts, I am requiring that Triple R Auto Ltd. operating as Lexus of Royal Oak pay an Administrative Penalty. This is based on my opinion Triple R Auto Ltd. operating as Lexus of Royal Oak contravened Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.



Taking into consideration all the representations made by the Supplier and the representations made by AMVIC's industry standards department, the amount of the Administrative Penalty is \$14,000.

The amount takes into consideration the factors outlined in Section 2 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, AR 135/2013 and the principles referenced in *R v Cotton Felts Ltd., (1982), 2 C.C.C (3d) 287 (Ont. C.A.)* as being applicable to fines levied under regulatory legislation related to public welfare including consumer protection legislation. In particular the Director took into account:

- 1. The financial harm on the person adversely affected by the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 2. The seriousness of the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 3. The economic benefit derived from the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 4. Administrative Penalties issued in similar circumstances;
- 5. The maximum penalty under Section 158.1(3) of the CPA of \$100,000; and
- 6. The deterrent effect of the penalty.

The amount of the Administrative Penalty is \$14,000.

Pursuant to Section 3 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, you are required to submit payment within **thirty (30) days** of the date of service of this notice. Failure to pay the Administrative Penalty will result in a review of the licence status. Payment may be made payable to the **"Government of Alberta" and sent to AMVIC** at:

Suite 303, 9945 – 50th Street Edmonton, AB T6A 0L4.

If payment has not been received in this time period, the Notice may be filed in the Court of King's Bench and enforced as a judgement of that Court pursuant to Section 158.4 of the CPA and further disciplinary action will be considered.

Section 179 of the CPA allows a person who has been served a notice of Administrative Penalty to appeal the penalty. To appeal the penalty, the person must serve the Minister of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction

Minister of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction 103 Legislature Building 10800 - 97 Avenue NW Edmonton, AB Canada T5K 2B6



with a notice of appeal within **thirty (30) days** after receiving the notice of Administrative Penalty. The appeal notice must contain your name, your address for service, details of the decision being appealed and your reasons for appealing.

Pursuant to Section 180(4) of the CPA, service of a notice of appeal operates to stay the Administrative Penalty until the appeal board renders its decision on the appeal or the appeal is withdrawn.

Under Section 4 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, the fee for appealing an Administrative Penalty is the lesser of \$1,000 or half the amount of the penalty. As such, the fee for an appeal of this Administrative Penalty, should you choose to file one, would be \$1,000. Should you choose to appeal this Administrative Penalty, you must send the appeal fee to the Minster of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction at the above noted address, made payable to the "Government of Alberta".

Yours truly.

"original signed by"

Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council (AMVIC) Gerald Gervais, Registrar Director of Fair Trading (as Delegated)

GG/kl Encl.

cc: Evelyn L-J., Manager of Industry Standards, AMVIC