AMVIC ALBERTA MOTOR VEHICLE INDUSTRY COUNCIL

Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council ALBERTA'S AUTOMOTIVE REGULATOR

PHONE: 780.466.1140 TOLL FREE: 1.877.979.8100 FAX: 780.462.0633 #303.9945-50 STREET.

EDMONTON, AB T6A OL4

May 2, 2023

Administrative Review – 23-03-010 Served Personally

Administrative Penalty

377685 ALBERTA LTD.
o/a SOUTHTOWN HYUNDAI/GO AUTO/GENESIS SOUTH EDMONTON
3603 99 STREET NW
EDMONTON, AB
T6K 6K6

Attention: Chris Burrows and Michael Priestner

Dear Chris Burrows and Michael Priestner:

Re: 377685 Alberta Ltd. operating as Southtown Hyundai/Go Auto/Genesis South Edmonton
- Provincial Automotive Business Licence No. B189733

As the Director of Fair Trading (as delegated) (the "Director"), I am writing to you pursuant to Section 158.1(1) of the *Consumer Protection Act* ("CPA") to provide you with written notice of the Administrative Penalty issued under that section.

Facts

The evidence before me in relation to this matter consists of the material contained in an Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council ("AMVIC") industry standards department application report (the "Application Report") prepared by an industry standards officer ("ISO") and the manager of industry standards. A copy of the Application Report is attached as Schedule "A" to this letter.

Licensee Status

377685 Alberta Ltd. operating as Southtown Hyundai/Go Auto/Genesis South Edmonton (the "Supplier") holds an automotive business licence and is licensed to carry on the designated business activities of new and used sales, service station, garage, leasing, and wholesale sales in the Province of Alberta.

Direct communications with the Supplier and its representatives

- On April 11, 2016, a routine AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed at the business location of the Supplier. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on May 10, 2016. The Findings Letter outlined some concerns including but not limited to:
 - a) Advertising issues contrary to requirements found in Section 11 of the Automotive Business Regulation ("ABR").



- b) During the inspection, three deals were reviewed by the ISO and of those three deals, one did not reflect all-in pricing contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.
- Various issues with the completion of and/or disclosure of Mechanical Fitness
 Assessments ("MFA") contrary to Section 15(1) of the Vehicle Inspection Regulation
 ("VIR").
- On April 1, 2019, a followup AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on May 5, 2019. The Findings Letter outlined some concerns including but not limited to:
 - a) During the inspection, 10 deals were reviewed by the ISO and of those 10 deals, four did not reflect all-in pricing contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.
 - b) Advertising issues contrary to requirements found in Section 11 of the ABR and Sections 4 and 6 of the Cost of Credit Disclosure Regulation ("COC").
 - c) Various Issues with the completion of and/or disclosure of MFAs contrary to Sections 15(1) and 16 of the VIR.
 - d) Discrepancies were identified in some consumer credit applications in which the salaries of some consumers were increased in the applications submitted to the financial institutions contrary to Section 6 of the CPA.
 - e) Bill of sale ("BOS") issues contrary to requirements found in Section 31.2(1) of the ABR.
- On June 16, 2021, a followup AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on June 22, 2021. The Findings Letter outlined some concerns including but not limited to:
 - a) In five vehicle sales reviewed by the ISO, the Supplier did not comply with all-in pricing legislation, Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.
 - b) Advertising issues contrary to requirements found in Section 11 of the ABR and in Section 6 of the COC.
 - c) A number of the BOS' that were reviewed in the deal jackets had multiple issues contrary to Section 31.2 of the ABR.
 - d) Various issues with the completion of and/or disclosure of MFAs contrary to Sections 15(1) and 16 of the VIR.
- 4. On Feb. 7, 2023, a followup AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. This inspection focused specifically on the Supplier's advertising and compliance with all-in pricing legislation. The inspection conducted on Feb. 7, 2023 was therefore not comprehensive in nature and as such, not all documentation or business practices were reviewed. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on Feb. 15, 2023. The Findings Letter stated that 15 used and 15 new vehicle sale files were reviewed. The Findings Letter outlined the following concern:
 - a) During the inspection, 30 deals were reviewed by the ISO and of those 30 deals, eight did not reflect all-in pricing contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.



- 5. Selling vehicles over the advertised price was found in all four AMVIC inspections, based on the Findings Letters provided to the Supplier following each AMVIC industry standards inspection.
- 6. On March 21, 2023, the proposed Administrative Penalty was served on the Supplier. The proposed Administrative Penalty provided the Supplier an opportunity to make written representations with respect to the matter by April 24, 2023. The Supplier did not provide any written representations in response to the proposed Administrative Penalty.

Applicable Legislation

Automotive Business Regulation Advertising Section 11

- (2) A business operator must ensure that every advertisement for an automotive business that promotes the use or purchase of goods or services
 - (I) includes in the advertised price for any vehicle the total cost of the vehicle, including, but not limited to, all fees and charges such as the cost of accessories, optional equipment physically attached to the vehicle, transportation charges and any applicable taxes or administration fees, but not including GST or costs and charges associated with financing, and

Consumer Protection Act Interpretation of documents Section 4

If a consumer and a supplier enter into a consumer transaction, or an individual enters into a contract with a licensee and the licensee agrees to supply something to the individual in the normal course of the licensee's business, and

- (a) all or any part of the transaction or contract is evidenced by a document provided by the supplier or licensee, and
- (b) a provision of the document is ambiguous,

the provision must be interpreted against the supplier or licensee, as the case may be.

Administrative Penalties Notice of administrative penalty Section 158.1

- (1) If the Director is of the opinion that a person
 - (a) has contravened a provision of this Act or the regulations, or
 - (b) has failed to comply with a term or condition of a licence issued under this Act or the regulations,

the Director may, by notice in writing given to the person, require the person to pay to the Crown an administrative penalty in the amount set out in the notice.



- (2) Where a contravention or a failure to comply continues for more than one day, the amount set out in the notice of administrative penalty under subsection (1) may include a daily amount for each day or part of a day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurs or continues.
- (3) The amount of an administrative penalty, including any daily amounts referred to in subsection (2), must not exceed \$100 000.
- (4) Subject to subsection (5), a notice of administrative penalty shall not be given more than 3 years after the day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurred.
- **(5)** Where the contravention or non-compliance occurred in the course of a consumer transaction or an attempt to enter into a consumer transaction, a notice of administrative penalty may be given within 3 years after the day on which the consumer first knew or ought to have known of the contravention or non-compliance but not more than 8 years after the day on which the contravention or non-compliance occurred.

Right to make representations Section 158.2

Before imposing an administrative penalty in an amount of \$500 or more, the Director shall

- (a) advise the person, in writing, of the Director's intent to impose the administrative penalty and the reasons for it, and
- (b) provide the person with an opportunity to make representations to the Director.

Vicarious liability Section 166

For the purposes of this Act, an act or omission by an employee or agent of a person is deemed also to be an act or omission of the person if the act or omission occurred

- (a) in the course of the employee's employment with the person, or
- (b) in the course of the agent's exercising the powers or performing the duties on behalf of the person under their agency relationship.

<u>Analysis – Did the Supplier fail to comply with the provisions of the ABR?</u>

A routine AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on April 11, 2016. The inspection findings were discussed with the Supplier and the Findings Letter was emailed to the business on May 10, 2016. The 2016 inspection findings identified advertising and MFA concerns as well as the Supplier selling over the advertised price.

A second AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on April 1, 2019. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was sent to the Supplier on May 5, 2019. The inspection completed in 2019 found similar and additional legislative breaches including that the Supplier was selling over the advertised price.



A third AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on June 16, 2021. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was sent to the Supplier on June 22, 2021. The inspection completed in 2021 found similar legislative breaches to those found in both the 2016 and 2019 inspections including that the Supplier was selling over the advertised price. After each inspection the Supplier was provided with information and education regarding the legislative requirement of adhering to the advertised price when selling vehicles as required by Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

On Feb. 7, 2023 a fourth AMVIC industry standards inspection was completed on the Supplier. This inspection focused solely on the Supplier's advertising and compliance with all-in pricing legislation. The inspection conducted on Feb. 7, 2023 was therefore not comprehensive in nature and as such, not all documentation or business practices were reviewed in comparison to the three previous comprehensive inspections conducted in 2016, 2019 and 2021. A Findings Letter outlining the inspection findings was completed and sent to the Supplier on Feb. 15, 2023. The ISO identified that the Supplier sold eight vehicles over the advertised price contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR. Based on the facts outlined in the Application Report and supporting documents (see Schedule "A"), I will be considering the alleged breaches from the 2023 AMVIC industry standards inspection.

A. Selling Above Advertised Price (11(2)(I) ABR)

During the Feb. 7, 2023 inspection, the ISO reviewed 15 used and 15 new vehicle deal jackets. Of the 30 deal jackets reviewed by the ISO, eight of the vehicles were sold over the advertised price contrary to Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR. Prices advertised must include all fees the seller intends to charge. The only fee that can be added to the advertised price is the goods and services tax ("GST") and costs associated with financing as per Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR. Pre-installed products such as batteries and anti-theft must be included in the advertised price. Destination fees, documentation fees, the AMVIC levy and tire recycling levy must be included in the advertised price. In these eight consumer transactions the Supplier derived an economic benefit of \$15,887.46 at the cost of the consumers:

- Stock No. 23EL2897 was sold over the advertised price by \$126.25;
- Stock No. 23EL9172 was sold over the advertised price by \$3,576.25;
- Stock No. 23KN7998 was sold over the advertised price by \$126.25;
- Stock No. 23TU3777 was sold over the advertised price by \$4,263.71;
- Stock No. 23KN3006 was sold over the advertised price by \$4,276.25;
- Stock No. 23SF634A was sold over the advertised price by \$6.25;
- Stock No. 23EL1253 was sold over the advertised price by \$3,506.25; and
- Stock No. PW0420 was sold over the advertised price by \$6.25.

Based on the sample size of 30 deal jackets reviewed by the ISO and before me as evidence, the Supplier has derived an economic benefit by charging consumers over the advertised price. This is concerning as the Supplier has been provided more than ample opportunity and education to rectify this business practice, however continues to engage in this practice and derive an economic benefit at the cost of consumers.



The Director finds that on a balance of probabilities, the Supplier has breached Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

B. Other Considerations

In addition to the individual education AMVIC provided the Supplier in the form of the Findings Letters provided after each AMVIC industry standards inspection, AMVIC has issued industry bulletins and newsletters over the past two years explaining advertising regulations to educate the automotive industry as a whole. As a licensed member of the automotive industry, the Supplier would have received the AMVIC industry bulletins and newsletters and in the opinion of the Director, is expected to have reviewed these education bulletins and newsletters to ensure their business practices are in compliance.

There exists an onus on the Supplier to do their due diligence and ensure they are complying with the legislation that governs the regulated industry they have chosen to be a member of. The Supreme Court of British Columbia in *Windmill Auto Sales & Detailing Ltd. v. Registrar of Motor Dealers, 2014 BCSC 903* addressed the issue of the onus and responsibility the Supplier has when operating within a regulated industry. The court at paragraph 59 stated:

"In my view, it is incumbent upon a party that operates within a regulated industry to develop at least a basic understanding of the regulatory regime, including its obligations under the regime, as well as the obligations, and the authority, of the regulator."

The Supplier's business practices discussed above leverages the Supplier's knowledge and position, and does not foster a level playing field between the consumer and the Supplier, leading to financial harm to consumers. It further concerns the Director that the Supplier has continued to breach rather straightforward legislation, to the financial detriment of consumers, despite the education provided by AMVIC.

The aggravating factors in this matter include the resulting financial impact adversely affecting the consumers due to paying over the advertised price, in only eight transactions the Supplier derived an economic benefit of \$15,887.46 and continued non-compliance with the rather straightforward requirements of the legislation despite education provided to the Supplier. The Supplier is a high volume automotive dealer and sold 1,215 vehicles in 2022.

This Administrative Penalty is taking into account the number and seriousness of the contravention of the legislation found during the fourth inspection; and the aggravating factors listed above.

The amount of the Administrative Penalty cannot be viewed as a cost of doing business but rather as a deterrent for continuing to engage in non-compliant business practices.



Action

In accordance with Section 158.1(a) of the CPA and based on the above facts, I am requiring that 377685 Alberta Ltd. operating as Southtown Hyundai/Go Auto/Genesis South Edmonton pay an Administrative Penalty. This is based on my opinion that 377685 Alberta Ltd. operating as Southtown Hyundai/Go Auto/Genesis South Edmonton contravened Section 11(2)(I) of the ABR.

Taking into consideration all the evidence currently before the Director, the amount of the Administrative Penalty is **\$21,500**.

The amount takes into consideration the factors outlined in Section 2 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, AR 135/2013 and the principles referenced in *R v Cotton Felts Ltd., (1982), 2 C.C.C (3d) 287 (Ont. C.A.)* as being applicable to fines levied under regulatory legislation related to public welfare including consumer protection legislation. In particular the Director took into account:

- 1. The financial harm on the person adversely affected by the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 2. The seriousness of the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 3. The economic benefit derived from the contraventions or failure to comply;
- 4. Administrative Penalties issued in similar circumstances;
- 5. The maximum penalty under Section 158.1(3) of the CPA of \$100,000; and
- 6. The deterrent effect of the penalty.

The amount of the Administrative Penalty is \$21,500.

Pursuant to Section 3 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, you are required to submit payment within **thirty (30) days** of the date of service of this notice. Failure to pay the Administrative Penalty will result in a review of the licence status. Payment may be made payable to the **"Government of Alberta" and sent to AMVIC** at:

Suite 303, 9945 – 50th Street Edmonton, AB T6A 0L4.

If payment has not been received in this time period, the Notice may be filed in the Court of King's Bench and enforced as a judgement of that Court pursuant to Section 158.4 of the CPA and further disciplinary action will be considered.

Section 179 of the CPA allows a person who has been served a notice of Administrative Penalty to appeal the penalty. To appeal the penalty, the person must serve the Minister of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction

Minister of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction 103 Legislature Building 10800 - 97 Avenue NW



Edmonton, AB Canada T5K 2B6

with a notice of appeal within **thirty (30) days** after receiving the notice of Administrative Penalty. The appeal notice must contain your name, your address for service, details of the decision being appealed and your reasons for appealing.

Pursuant to Section 180(4) of the CPA, service of a notice of appeal operates to stay the Administrative Penalty until the appeal board renders its decision on the appeal or the appeal is withdrawn.

Under Section 4 of the Administrative Penalties (*Consumer Protection Act*) Regulation, the fee for appealing an Administrative Penalty is the lesser of \$1,000 or half the amount of the penalty. As such, the fee for an appeal of this Administrative Penalty, should you choose to file one, would be \$1,000. Should you choose to appeal this Administrative Penalty, you must send the appeal fee to the Minster of Service Alberta and Red Tape Reduction at the above noted address, made payable to the "Government of Alberta".

Yours truly,

"original signed by"

Alberta Motor Vehicle Industry Council (AMVIC) Gerald Gervais, Registrar Director of Fair Trading (as Delegated)

GG/kl Encl.

cc: Evelyn L-J., Manager of Industry Standards, AMVIC